

Section on a specific subject from:

DATABOOK OF HAPPINESS

A complementary reference work to
Conditions of Happiness

Ruut Veenhoven
with the assistance of Ton Jonkers
Erasmus University Rotterdam

D.Reidel Publishing Company
Member of Kluwer Academic Publishers Group
1984
Dordrecht/Boston/Lancaster

ISBN 90-277-1793-1

M 1 MARITAL STATUS

M 1.1 Married	1.3.3 - Divorced vs widowed
1.1.1 - Never married vs married	1.3.4 - Separated vs widowed
1.1.2 - Widowed vs married	1.3.5 - Divorced or separated vs widowed
1.1.3 - Divorced vs married	M 1.4 Divorced
1.1.4 - Separated vs married	1.4.1 - Married vs divorced see <u>M 1.1.3</u>
1.1.5 - Never married, widowed, divorced or separated vs married	1.4.2 - Never married vs divorced see <u>M 1.2.3</u>
1.1.6 - Living as married	1.4.3 - Widowed vs divorced see <u>M 1.3.3</u>
M 1.2 Never married	1.4.4 - Separated vs divorced
1.2.1 - Married vs never married see <u>M 1.1.1</u>	M 1.5 Separated
1.2.2 - Widowed vs never married	1.5.1 - Married vs separated see <u>M 1.1.4</u>
1.2.3 - Divorced vs never married	1.5.2 - Never married vs separated see <u>M 1.2.4</u>
1.2.4 - Separated vs never married	1.5.3 - Widowed vs separated see <u>M 1.3.4</u>
1.2.5 - Widowed, divorced, or separated vs never married	1.5.4 - Divorced vs separated see <u>M 1.4.4</u>
M 1.3 Widowed	M 1.6 Various comparisons
1.3.1 - Married vs widowed see <u>M 1.1.2</u>	M 1.7 Attitudes toward marital status
1.3.2 - Never married vs widowed see <u>M 1.2.2</u>	

M 1.1 - MARRIED

M 1.1.1 - NEVER MARRIED VS MARRIED

MARRIED	Never married vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+28			National adult population, U.S.A. Non-probability quota sample N: 2377, date: February, 1946	WESSM 56 p. 190
MARRIED	Never married vs married	Stronger among males: G' = +.50 (01) Lower among females: G' = +.31 (01)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+41	Gt'	01	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage sample N: 2460, date: spring 1957	GURIN 60 p. 232
MARRIED	Never married vs married	See remarks in excerpt (Part II). Among males: G' = +.38 (01) age 18-39: G' = +.40 (01) age 40-59: G' = +.22 (ns) age 60+ : G' = +.42 (05) Among females: G' = +.44 (01) age 18-39: G' = +.57 (01) age 40-59: G' = +.12 (ns) age 60+ : G' = +.14 (ns)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+42	Gt'	01	National adult population, U.S.A. Combined data from 3 general surveys conducted by NORC N: 3853, date: 1972, 1973, 1974	GLENN 75B p. 596
MARRIED	Never married vs married	Lower among males : G' = +.39 (01) Stronger among females: G' = +.56 (01)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+39	Gt'	01	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. National probability sample N: 1500, date: spring 1973	SPREI 75 p. 242
MARRIED	Never married vs married	Gammas based on proportions 'not too happy' answers Stronger among males: G' = +.47 (01) Lower among females: G' = +.18 (ns)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+38	Gt'	01	Inhabitants of 4 small communities, Illinois, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage samples N: 2006, date: March, 1962	BRADB 65/1 p. 13
MARRIED	Never married vs married	Sign. among young males only: DR = +.17 (05) No other sex or age differences (to be continued on next page)	AFF 2.3	DR	+05	BCI	ns	Adults, urban areas, U.S.A. Probability area samples N: 2787, date: January, 1963 - January, 1964	BRADB 69 p. 149-154

Index of Positive Affects: $\overline{DR} = +.01$ (ns)
 Stronger among those of age under 25: $\overline{DR} = +.07$
 Unaffected by sex (ns)
 Index of Negative Affects: $\overline{DR} = -.05$ (ns)
 Stronger among males : $\overline{DR} = -.09$ (05)
 Lower among females : $\overline{DR} = -.01$ (ns)
 Strongest among males of
 age under 25 : $\overline{DR} = -.15$ (05)

Unaffected by sex
 males : $G' = +.38$
 females: $G' = +.42$

Stronger among males under the age of 25
 No other sex or age differences

Positive among males : $G' = +.38$ (ns)
 Negative among females: $G' = -.39$ (ns)

Never married persons reporting that they are often
 alone are the least likely to report that they are
 not satisfied with their present way of life.
 Unaffected by age

Unaffected by age

MARRIED	Never married vs married	HAPP 1.1	G'	+					
MARRIED	Never married vs married	COMP 1.1	G'		Gt'	ns	Adults, San Francisco, U.S.A. Probability area sample, poststratified by drinking habits N: 979, date: 1964	KNUPF 66 p. 844	
MARRIED	Never married vs married	HAPP 2.1	G'	-.10	Gt'	ns	Aged persons, Detroit, U.S.A. Probability systematic random sample stratified by type of housing N: 210, date: —	GUBRI 74 p. 110-111	
MARRIED	Never married vs married	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.04	Gt'	ns			
MARRIED	Never married vs married	HAPP 2.1	G'	+.21	Gt'	ns	Aged chronically-ill patients, U.S.A. Probability sample N: 167, date: 1959	HENLE 67 p. 69	
MARRIED	Never married vs married	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.02	Gt'	ns	National adult population, Puerto Rico Probability simple random sample N: 1417, date: November, 1963 - January, 1964 and August - October, 1964	MATLI 66 p. 24	
MARRIED	Never married vs married	AFF 2.3	G'	-.00	Gt'	ns			
MARRIED	Never married vs married	HAPP 3.1	DM	-	DMRT	01	Adults in the Dominican Republic, Panama and Yugoslavia Pooling of the Cantril (1965) samples of the Dominican Republic, Panama and Yugoslavia N: 5228, date: —	BOHN 72 p. 23	
MARRIED	Never married vs married	HAPP 2.1	G'	+.18	Gt'	01	National populations of nine European countries Type of sample construction not reported N: 9605 (or 9543; see remarks in excerpt, Part II), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 140/153	
MARRIED	Never married vs married	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.14	Gt'	01			
MARRIED	Never married vs married	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.06	Gt'	ns	National population, Belgium N: 1555 (1507), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155	
MARRIED	Never married vs married	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.05	Gt'	ns	National population, United Kingdom (including Northern Ireland) N: 1317 (1325), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155	
MARRIED	Never married vs married	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.22	Gt'	05	National population, Denmark N: 1039 (1073), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155	
MARRIED	Never married vs married	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.08	Gt'	ns	National population, France N: 1196 (1156), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155	
MARRIED	Never married vs married	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.15	Gt'	ns	National population, W.Germany N: 1039 (1039), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155	

MARRIED	Never married vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	-.04	Gt'	ns	National population, Ireland N: 999 (996), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
MARRIED	Never married vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	-.01	Gt'	ns	National population, Italy N: 1043 (1043), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
MARRIED	Never married vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.12	Gt'	ns	National population, Luxembourg N: 324 (311), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
MARRIED	Never married vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.29	Gt'	05	National population, The Netherlands N: 1093 (1093), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
MARRIED	Never married vs married	Unaffected by age Stronger among males: $G' = +.41$ (01) Lower among females: $G' = +.27$ (01)	HAPP 2.1	G'	+.34	Gt'	01	Adults, Amsterdam, The Netherlands Probability systematic random sample, stratified by sex and marital status N: 600, date: September - December, 1965	JONG 69 p. 188
MARRIED	Never married vs married	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.28	Gt'	05	Adults, Utrecht, The Netherlands Probability sample stratified by age N: 300, date: autumn, 1967	MOSER 69 p. 15

M 1.1.2 - WIDOWED VS MARRIED

MARRIED	Widowed vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.45			National adult population, U.S.A. Non-probability quota sample N: 2377, date: February, 1946	WESSM 56 p. 190
MARRIED	Widowed vs married	Stronger among males: $G' = +.64$ (01) Lower among females: $G' = +.53$ (01)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.51	Gt'	01	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage area sample N: 2460, date: spring, 1957	GURIN 60 p. 232
MARRIED	Widowed vs married	Lower among those under age 65: $r = +.05$ Stronger among those of age 65+: $r = +.18$ Unaffected by S.E.S.	HAPP 1.1	r _{pm}	+.09		01	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. Probability sample N: 1547, date: 1972, 1973	SPREI 74 p. 457
MARRIED	Widowed vs married	Stronger among males: $G' = +.66$ (01) Lower among females: $G' = +.43$ (01)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.46	Gt'	01	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. National probability sample N: 1500, date: spring, 1973	SPREI 75 p. 242
MARRIED	Widowed vs married	See remarks in excerpt (Part II). Among males: $G' = +.39$ (01) age 60+ : $G' = +.52$ (01) Among females: $G' = +.42$ (01) age 40-59 : $G' = +.60$ (01) age 60+ : $G' = +.34$ (01)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.35	Gt'	01	National adult population, U.S.A. Combined data from 3 U.S. general surveys conducted by NORC N: 3853, date: 1972, 1973, 1974	GLENN 75B p. 596
MARRIED	Widowed vs married	Gamma based on proportions 'not too happy' answers Unaffected by sex	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.66	Gt'	01	Inhabitants of 4 small communities, Illinois, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage samples N: 2006, date: March, 1962	BRADB 65/1 p. 13
MARRIED	Widowed vs married	Index of Positive Affects: $\overline{DR} = +.13$ (05) Index of Negative Affects: $\overline{DR} = -.06$ (ns) Unaffected by sex Stronger among males: $G' = +.69$ Lower among females: $G' = +.61$	AFF 2.3	\overline{DR}	+.13	BCI	05	Adults, urban area, U.S.A. Probability area samples N: 2787, date: January, 1963 - January 1964	BRADB 69 p. 149-150
			HAPP 1.1	G'	+				

MARRIED	Widowed vs married	Unaffected by age Unaffected by age	HAPP 2.1 HAPP 1.1	G' G'	+06 +25	Gt' Gt'	ns ns	Aged persons, Detroit, U.S.A. Probability systematic random sample, stratified by type of housing N: 210, date: —	GUBRI 74 p. 110-111
MARRIED	Widowed vs married		HAPP 2.1	G'	+23	Gt'	ns	Aged chronically-ill patients, U.S.A. Probability sample N: 167, date: 1959	HENLE 67 p. 69
MARRIED	Widowed vs married		HAPP 1.1 AFF 2.3	G' G'	+35 +20	Gt' Gt'	01 ns	National adult population, Puerto Rico Probability simple random sample N: 1417, date: November, 1963 - January, 1964 and August - October, 1964	MATLI 66 p. 24
MARRIED	Widowed vs married		HAPP 2.1 HAPP 1.1	G' G'	+27 +30	Gt' Gt'	01 01	National populations of nine European Countries Type of sample construction not reported N: 9605 (or 9543; see remarks in excerpt, Part II), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 140/153

M 1.1.3 - DIVORCED VS MARRIED

MARRIED	Divorced vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+49			National adult population, U.S.A. Non-probability quota sample N: 2377, date: February, 1946	WESSM 56 p. 190
MARRIED	Divorced vs married	Lower among males : G' = +.40 (01) Stronger among females: G' = +.75 (01)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+62	Gt'	01	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. National probability sample N: 1500, date: spring, 1973	SPREI 75 p. 242
MARRIED	Divorced vs married	Index of Positive Affects: \overline{DR} = +.05 (ns) Index of Negative Affects: \overline{DR} = -.05 (ns) Unaffected by sex	AFF 2.3	\overline{DR}	+07	BCI	05	Adults, urban areas, U.S.A. Probability area samples N: 2787, date: January, 1963 - January, 1964	BRADB 69 p. 149-150
		Unaffected by sex males : G' = +.56 females: G' = +.61	HAPP 1.1	G'	+				
MARRIED	Divorced vs married	Unaffected by age Unaffected by age	HAPP 2.1 HAPP 1.1	G' G'	+23 +15	Gt' Gt'	ns ns	Aged persons, Detroit, U.S.A. Probability systematic random sample stratified by type of housing N: 210, date: —	GUBRI 74 p. 110-111
MARRIED	Divorced vs married		HAPP 2.1	Gt'	+41	Gt'	ns	Aged chronically-ill patients, U.S.A. Probability sample N: 167, date: 1959	HENLE 67 p. 69
MARRIED	Divorced vs married		HAPP 1.1 AFF 2.3	G' G'	+25 +17	Gt' Gt'	05 ns	National adult population, Puerto Rico Probability simple random sample N: 1417, date: November, 1963 - January, 1964 and August - October, 1964	MATLI 66 p. 24
MARRIED	Divorced vs married		HAPP 2.1 HAPP 1.1	G' G'	+47 +39	Gt' Gt'	01 01	National population of nine European countries Type of sample construction not reported N: 9605 (or 9543; see remarks in excerpt, Part II), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 140/153

M 1.1.4 - SEPARATED VS MARRIED

MARRIED	Separated vs married	<p>Unaffected by sex</p> <p>Index of Positive Affects: $\bar{DR} = +.11$ (05) Lower among males : $\bar{DR} = +.06$ (ns) Stronger among females : $\bar{DR} = +.14$ (05)</p> <p>Index of Negative Affects: $\bar{DR} = -.12$ (05) Stronger among males : $\bar{DR} = -.15$ (05) Lower among females : $\bar{DR} = -.08$ (ns)</p> <p>Unaffected by sex males : $G' = +.70$ females: $G' = +.68$</p>	AFF 2.3	\bar{DR}	+ .16	BCI	05	Adults, urban areas, U.S.A. Probability area samples N: 2787, date: January, 1963 - January, 1964	BRADB 69 p. 149-150
MARRIED	Separated vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+				
MARRIED	Separated vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	-.04	Gt'	ns	National adult population, Puerto Rico Probability simple random sample N: 1417, date: November, 1963 - January, 1964 and August - October, 1964	MATLI 56 p. 24
MARRIED	Separated vs married		AFF 2.3	G'	-.08	Gt'	ns		
MARRIED	Separated vs married		HAPP 2.1	G'	+.55	Gt'	01	National populations of nine European countries Type of sample construction not reported N: 9605 (or 9543; see remarks in excerpt, Part II), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 140/153
MARRIED	Separated vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.62	Gt'	01		

M 1.1.5 - NEVER MARRIED, WIDOWED, DIVORCED, OR SEPARATED VS MARRIED

NOT PRESENTLY MARRIED VS MARRIED

MARRIED	Not currently married vs married		AFF 1.1	r_{pm}	-.06		ns	Families of hourly workers and salaried employees, U.S.A. N: 712, date: summer, 1973	TESSL 75 p. 103
MARRIED	Having a husband in the home husband-absent vs husband present	<p>Stronger among unemployed females</p> <p>Stronger among formerly welfare status females</p>	HAPP 1.1	DM	+		001	Low-income women with children, New York State, U.S.A. Probability systematic random sample stratified by employed status and marital status N: 1325, date: —	BENDO 74 p. 74/78
MARRIED	All others vs married persons living with their spouse		HAPP 2.1	DM	+		001		
MARRIED	Never married, widowed or divorced vs married	<p>Gammas based on proportions 'very satisfied' answers</p> <p>Helsinki : $G' = -.05$ (ns) rural communes: $G' = +.05$ (ns)</p>	HAPP 3.1	r	+.05			People of 46 and over, Duke, U.S.A. Probability systematic random sample stratified by age and sex N: 502, date: 1968	PALMO 72 p. 70
MARRIED	Unmarried vs married		HAPP 2.1	G'	+.02	Gt'	ns	National population, Finland Probability samples N: 948, date: spring - summer, 1966	HAAVI 71 p. 588
MARRIED	Unmarried vs married		HAPP 1.1				ns	National adult population, The Netherlands N: at least 1000, date: 1948	NIPO 49 p. 4

WIDCWED, DIVORCED, SEPARATED VS MARRIED

MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs married		HAPP 3.1	DM	-	DMRT	01	Adults in the Dominican Republic, Panama and Yugoslavia Pooling of the Cantril (1965) samples of Dominican Republic, Panama and Yugoslavia N: 5228, date: —	BOHN 72 p. 23
MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .51	Gt'	01	National population, Belgium N: 1555 (1507), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .15	Gt'	ns	National population, United Kingdom (including Northern Ireland) N: 1317 (1325), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .54	Gt'	01	National population, Denmark N: 1039 (1073), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .39	Gt'	05	National population, France N: 1196 (1156), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .52	Gt'	01	National population, W. Germany N: 1039 (1039), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .09	Gt'	ns	National population, Ireland N: 999 (996), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .32	Gt'	05	National population, Italy N: 1043 (1043), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .45	Gt'	01	National population, Luxembourg N: 324 (311), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .67	Gt'	01	National population, The Netherlands N: 1093 (1093), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
MARRIED	Widowed or divorced vs married	Unaffected by sex	HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .64	Gt'	01	Adults, Utrecht, The Netherlands Probability sample stratified by age N: 300, date: autumn, 1967	MOSER 69 p. 15

DIVORCED, SEPARATED VS MARRIED

MARRIED	Divorced or separated vs married	Lower among males : G' = +.35 (05) Stronger among females: G' = +.55 (01)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .48	Gt'	01	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage area sample N: 2460, date: spring, 1957	GURIN 60 p. 232
MARRIED	Divorced or separated vs married	See remarks in excerpt (Part II). Among males : G' = +.42 (01) age 18 - 39: G' = +.72 (01) age 40 - 59: G' = +.20 (ns) age 60+ : G' = +.55 (05) Among females: G' = +.61 (01) age 18 - 39: G' = +.62 (01) age 40 - 59: G' = +.75 (01) age 60+ : G' = +.27 (ns)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .52	Gt'	01	National adult population, U.S.A. Combined data from 3 U.S. general surveys conducted by NORC N: 3853, date: 1972, 1973, 1974	GLENN 75B p. 596
MARRIED	Divorced or separated vs married	Gamma's are based on proportions 'not too happy' answers. Stronger among males: G' = +.59 (01) Lower among females: G' = +.47 (01)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .51	Gt'	01	Inhabitants of 4 small communities, Illinois, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage samples N: 2006, date: March, 1962	BRADB 65/1 p. 13

M 1.1.6 - LIVING AS MARRIED

CONSENSUAL UNION	Married vs consensual union
CONSENSUAL UNION	Never married vs consensual union
CONSENSUAL UNION	Widowed vs consensual union
CONSENSUAL UNION	Divorced vs consensual union
CONSENSUAL UNION	Separated vs consensual union
LIVING AS MARRIED	Married vs living as married
LIVING AS MARRIED	Never married vs living as married
LIVING AS MARRIED	Widowed vs living as married
LIVING AS MARRIED	Divorced vs living as married
LIVING AS MARRIED	Separated vs living as married

HAPP 1.1	G'	-.17	Gt'	05	National adult population, Puerto Rico	MATLI 66
AFF 2.3	G'	-.17	Gt'	01	Probability simple random sample N: 1417, date: November, 1963 - January, 1964 and August - October, 1964	p. 24
HAPP 1.1	G'	-.16			See above	MATLI 66
AFF 2.3	G'	-.17				p. 24
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.18			See above	MATLI 66
AFF 2.3	G'	+.04				p. 24
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.08			See above	MATLI 66
AFF 2.3	G'	-.11				p. 24
HAPP 1.1	G'	-.11			See above	MATLI 66
AFF 2.3	G'	-.10				p. 24
HAPP 2.1	G'	+.10	Gt'	ns	National population of nine European countries	COMMI 75
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.04	Gt'	ns	Type of sample construction not reported N: 9605 (or 9543; see remarks in excerpt, Part II), date: May, 1975	p. 140/153
HAPP 2.1	G'	+.27	Gt'	01	See above	COMMI 75
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.16	Gt'	ns		p. 140/153
HAPP 2.1	G'	+.35	Gt'	01	See above	COMMI 75
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.30	Gt'	01		p. 140/153
HAPP 2.1	G'	+.52	Gt'	01	See above	COMMI 75
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.38	Gt'	01		p. 140/153
HAPP 2.1	G'	+.56	Gt'	01	See above	COMMI 75
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.60	Gt'	01		p. 140/153
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.24			National adult population, U.S.A. Non-probability quota sample N: 2377, date: February, 1946	WEISSM 56
						p. 190

M 1.2 - NEVER MARRIED

M 1.2.1 - MARRIED VS NEVER MARRIED

see above (M 1.1.1)

M 1.2.2 - WIDOWED VS NEVER MARRIED

NEVER MARRIED	Widowed vs never married
---------------	--------------------------

NEVER MARRIED	Widowed vs never married	Stronger among males: $G' = +.39$ (05) Lower among females: $G' = +.30$ (01)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.21	Gt'	05	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage sample N: 2460, date: spring, 1957	GURIN 60 p. 232
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed vs never married	See remarks in excerpt (Part II) Among males : $G' = +.01$ (ns) age 60+ : $G' = +.12$ (ns) Among females: $G' = -.03$ (ns) age 40 - 59: $G' = +.51$ (05) age 60+ : $G' = +.21$ (ns)	HAPP 1.1	G'	-.08	Gt'	ns	National adult population, U.S.A. Combined data from 3 general surveys conducted by NORC N: 3853, date: 1972, 1973, 1974	GLENN 75B p. 596
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed vs never married	Stronger among males: $G' = +.46$ (05) Lower among females: $G' = +.14$ (ns)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.16	Gt'	ns	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. National probability sample N: 1500, date: spring, 1973	SPREI 75 p. 242
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed vs never married	Gammas based on proportions 'not too happy' answers. Stronger among females: $G' = +.57$ (01) Lower among males : $G' = +.26$ (ns)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.35	Gt'	01	Inhabitants of 4 small communities, Illinois, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage samples N: 2006, date: March, 1962	BRADB 65/1 p. 13
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed vs never married	Stronger among females: $\overline{DR} = +.10$ (ns) Lower among males : $\overline{DR} = +.03$ (ns) Index of Positive Affects: $\overline{DR} = +.12$ (05) Unaffected by sex Index of Negative Affects: $\overline{DR} = -.01$ (ns) Stronger among females : $\overline{DR} = -.03$ (ns) Reversed among males : $\overline{DR} = +.07$ (ns) Stronger among males : $G' = +.42$ Lower among females : $G' = +.34$	AFF 2.3	\overline{DR}	+.08	BCI	ns	Adults, urban areas, U.S.A. Probability area samples N: 2787, date: January, 1963 - January, 1964	BRADB 69 p. 149-150
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed vs never married	Unaffected by age Unaffected by age	HAPP 1.1	G'	+				
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed vs never married		HAPP 2.1	G'	+.17	Gt'	ns	Aged persons, Detroit, U.S.A. Probability systematic random sample stratified by type of housing N: 210, date: —	GUBRI 74 p. 110-111
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed vs never married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.23	Gt'	ns		
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed vs never married		HAPP 2.1	G'	+.02	Gt'	ns	Aged chronically ill patients, U.S.A. Probability sample N: 167, date: 1959	HENLE 67 p. 69
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed vs never married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.36			National adult population, Puerto Rico Probability simple random sample N: 1419, date: November, 1963 - January, 1964 and August - October, 1964	MATLI 66 p. 24
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed vs never married		AFF 2.3	G'	+.20				
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed vs never married		HAPP 2.1	G'	+.11	Gt'	01	National population of nine European countries Type of sample construction not reported N: 9605 (or 9543; see remarks in excerpt, Part II), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 140/153
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed vs never married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.17	Gt'	01		

M 1.2.3 - DIVORCED VS NEVER MARRIED

NEVER MARRIED	Divorced vs never married		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.29			National adult population, U.S.A. Non-probability quota sample N: 2377, date: February, 1946	WESSM 56 p. 190
---------------	---------------------------	--	----------	------	------	--	--	--	--------------------

NEVER MARRIED	Divorced vs never married	Lower among males : $G' = +.13$ (ns) Stronger among females: $G' = +.57$ (01)	HAPP 1.1	G'	+ .40	Gt'	01	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. National probability sample N: 1500, date: spring, 1973	SPREI 75 p. 242
NEVER MARRIED	Divorced vs never married	Unaffected by sex Index of Positive Affects: $\overline{DR} = +.04$ (ns) Unaffected by sex Index of Negative Affects: $\overline{DR} = .00$ (ns) Positive among males : $\overline{DR} = +.04$ (ns) Negative among females : $\overline{DR} = -.02$ (ns)	AFF 2.3	\overline{DR}	+ .02	BCL	ns	Adults, urban areas, U.S.A. Probability area samples N: 2787, date: January, 1963 - January, 1964	BRADB 69 p. 149-150
NEVER MARRIED	Divorced vs never married	Unaffected by age Unaffected by age	HAPP 2.1 HAPP 1.1	G' G'	+ .36 + .12	Gt' Gt'	ns ns	Aged persons, Detroit, U.S.A. Probability systematic random sample stratified by type of housing N: 210, date: —	GUBRI 74 p. 110-111
NEVER MARRIED	Divorced vs never married	Unaffected by sex males : $G' = +.27$ females: $G' = +.27$	HAPP 2.1	G'	+ .22	Gt'	ns	Aged chronically-ill patients, U.S.A. Probability sample N: 167, date: 1959	HENLE 67 p. 69
NEVER MARRIED	Divorced vs never married	Unaffected by age Unaffected by age	HAPP 1.1 AFF 2.3	G' G'	+ .25 + .17			National adult population, Puerto Rico Probability simple random sample N: 1419, date: November, 1963 - January, 1964 and August - October, 1964	MATLI 66 p. 24
NEVER MARRIED	Divorced vs never married	Unaffected by age Unaffected by age	HAPP 2.1 HAPP 1.1	G' G'	+ .34 + .27	Gt' Gt'	01 01	National population of nine European countries Type of sample construction not reported N: 9605 (or 9543; see remarks in excerpt, Part II), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 140/153

M 1.2.4 - SEPARATED VS NEVER MARRIED

NEVER MARRIED	Separated vs never married	Unaffected by sex Index of Positive Affects: $\overline{DR} = +.11$ (05) Stronger among females : $\overline{DR} = +.13$ (05) Lower among males : $\overline{DR} = +.06$ (ns) Index of Negative Affects: $\overline{DR} = -.07$ (ns) Unaffected by sex	AFF 2.3	\overline{DR}	+ .10	BCI	05	Adults, urban areas, U.S.A. Probability area samples N: 2787, date: January, 1963 - January, 1964	BRADB 69 p. 149-150
NEVER MARRIED	Separated vs never married	Unaffected by sex males : $G' = +.44$ females: $G' = +.47$	HAPP 1.1	G'	+				
NEVER MARRIED	Separated vs never married	Unaffected by sex males : $G' = +.44$ females: $G' = +.47$	HAPP 1.1 AFF 2.3	G' G'	+ .03 + .08			National adult population, Puerto Rico Probability simple random sample N: 1419, date: November, 1963 - January, 1964 and August - October, 1964	MATLI 66 p. 24
NEVER MARRIED	Separated vs never married	Unaffected by sex males : $G' = +.44$ females: $G' = +.47$	HAPP 2.1 HAPP 1.1	G' G'	+ .44 + .52	Gt' Gt'	01 01	National populations of nine European countries Type of sample construction not reported N: 9605 (or 9543; see remarks in excerpt, Part II), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 140/153

M 1.2.5 - WIDOWED, DIVORCED OR SEPARATED VS NEVER MARRIED

WIDOWED, DIVORCED, SEPARATED VS NEVER MARRIED

NEVER MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs never married
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs never married
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs never married
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs never married
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs never married
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs never married
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs never married
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs never married
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs never married
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs never married
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed, divorced or separated vs never married
NEVER MARRIED	Widowed or divorced vs never married

HAPP 3.1	DM	+	DMRT	05	Adults in the Dominican Republic, Panama and Yugoslavia Pooling of the Contril (1965) samples of the Dominican Republic, Panama and Yugoslavia N: 5228, date: —	BOHN 72 p. 23
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.46	Gt'	01	National population, Belgium N: 1555 (1507), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.11	Gt'	ns	National population, United Kingdom (including N.Ireland) N: 1317 (1325), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.32	Gt'	05	National population, Denmark N: 1039 (1073), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.30	Gt'	05	National population, France N: 1196 (1156), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.41	Gt'	05	National population, W.Germany N: 1039 (1039), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.13	Gt'	ns	National population, Ireland N: 999 (996), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.32	Gt'	05	National population, Italy N: 1043 (1043), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.38	Gt'	ns	National population, Luxembourg N: 324 (311), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.53	Gt'	05	National population, The Netherlands N: 1093 (1093), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 155
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.40	Gt'	ns	Adults, Utrecht, The Netherlands Probability sample stratified by age N: 300, date: autumn, 1967	MOSER 69 p. 15

DIVORCED, SEPARATED VS NEVER MARRIED

NEVER MARRIED	Divorced or separated vs never married
NEVER MARRIED	Divorced or separated vs never married

Stronger among females: G' = +.34 (01)
Reversed among males : G' = -.08 (ns)

See remarks in excerpt (Part II).

Among males : G' = +.04 (ns)
age 18 - 39: G' = +.45 (ns)
age 40 - 59: G' = -.01 (ns)
age 60+ : G' = +.18 (ns)

Among females: G' = +.23 (ns)
age 18 - 39: G' = +.07 (ns)
age 40 - 59: G' = +.70 (01)
age 60+ : G' = +.14 (ns)

HAPP 1.1	G'	+.18	Gt'	ns	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage area sample N: 2460, date: spring, 1957	GURIN 60 p. 232
HAPP 1.1	G'	+.13	Gt'	ns	National adult population, U.S.A. Combined data from 3 U.S. general surveys conducted by NORC N: 3853, date: 1972, 1973, 1974	GLENN 75B p. 596

NEVER MARRIED	Divorced or separated vs never married	<p>Gammas based on proportions 'not too happy' answers</p> <p>Stronger among females: $G^1 = +.32$ (ns)</p> <p>Lower among males : $G^1 = +.16$ (ns)</p>	HAPP 1.1	G^1	+.17	Gt^1	ns	Inhabitants of 4 small communities, Illinois, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage samples N: 2006, date: March, 1962	BRADB 65/1 p. 13
<u>M 1.3 - WIDOWED</u>									
<u>M 1.3.1 - MARRIED VS WIDOWED</u> see above (M 1.1.2)									
<u>M 1.3.2 - NEVER MARRIED VS WIDOWED</u> see above (M 1.2.2)									
<u>M 1.3.3 - DIVORCED VS WIDOWED</u>									
WIDOWED	Divorced vs widowed		HAPP 1.1	G^1	+.05			National adult population, U.S.A. Non-probability quota sample N: 2377, date: February, 1946	WESSM 56 p. 190
WIDOWED	Divorced vs widowed	<p>Stronger among females: $G^1 = +.39$ (01)</p> <p>Reversed among males : $G^1 = -.22$ (ns)</p>	HAPP 1.1	G^1	+.21	Gt^1	05	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. National probability sample N: 1500, date: spring, 1973	SPREI 75 p. 242
WIDOWED	Divorced vs widowed	<p>Slightly stronger among females: $\overline{DR} = -.07$ (ns)</p> <p>Not among males : $DR = -.01$ (ns)</p> <p>Index of Positive Affects: $\overline{DR} = -.09$ (ns)</p> <p>Index of Negative Affects: $\overline{DR} = +.01$ (ns)</p> <p>Unaffected by sex</p> <p>Stronger among males: $G^1 = -.24$</p> <p>Lower among females : $G^1 = -.11$</p>	AFF 2.3	\overline{DR}	-.06	BCI	ns	Adults, urban areas, U.S.A. Probability area samples N: 2787, date: January, 1963 - January, 1964	BRADB 69 p. 149-150
WIDOWED	Divorced vs widowed	Unaffected by age	HAPP 2.1	G^1	+.18	Gt^1	ns	Aged persons, Detroit, U.S.A. Probability systematic random sample stratified by type of housing N: 210, date: —	GUBRI 74 p. 110-111
WIDOWED	Divorced vs widowed		HAPP 1.1	G^1	-.12	Gt^1	ns		
WIDOWED	Divorced vs widowed		HAPP 2.1	G^1	+.20	Gt^1	ns	Aged chronically-ill patients, U.S.A. Probability sample N: 167, date: 1959	HENLE 67 p. 69
WIDOWED	Divorced vs widowed		HAPP 1.1	G^1	-.11			National adult population, Puerto Rico Probability simple random sample N: 1419, date: November, 1963 - January, 1964 and August - October, 1964	MATLI 66 p. 24
WIDOWED	Divorced vs widowed		AFF 2.3	G^1	-.05				
WIDOWED	Divorced vs widowed		HAPP 2.1	G^1	+.23	Gt^1	01	National populations of nine European countries Type of sample construction not reported	COMMI 75 p. 140/153
WIDOWED	Divorced vs widowed		HAPP 1.1	G^1	+.10	Gt^1	ns	N: 9605 (or 9543; see remarks in excerpt, Part II), date: May, 1975	

M 1.3.4 - SEPARATED VS WIDOWED

WIDOWED	Separated vs widowed	<p>Unaffected by sex</p> <p>Index of Positive Affects: $\overline{DR} = -.02$ (ns)</p> <p>Unaffected by sex</p> <p>Index of Negative Affects: $\overline{DR} = -.06$ (ns)</p> <p>Stronger among males : $\overline{DR} = -.13$ (ns)</p> <p>Lower among females : $\overline{DR} = -.04$ (ns)</p> <p>Females only : $G' = +.17$</p> <p>Not among males: $G' = +.02$</p>	AFF 2.3	\overline{DR}	+.03	BCI	ns	Adults, urban areas, U.S.A. Probability area samples N: 2787, date: January, 1963 - January, 1964	BRADB 69 p. 149-150
WIDOWED	Separated vs widowed		HAPP 1.1	G'	+				
WIDOWED	Separated vs widowed		HAPP 1.1	G'	-.28			National adult population, Puerto Rico Probability simple random sample N: 1419, date: November, 1963 - January, 1964 and August - October, 1964	MATLI 66' p. 24
WIDOWED	Separated vs widowed		AFF 2.3	G'	-.14				
WIDOWED	Separated vs widowed		HAPP 2.1	G'	+.32	Gt'	01	National population of nine European countries Type of sample construction not reported N: 9605 (or 9543; see remarks in excerpt, Part II), date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 140-153
WIDOWED	Separated vs widowed		HAPP 1.1	G'	+.37	Gt'	01		

M 1.3.5 - DIVORCED OR SEPARATED VS WIDOWED

WIDOWED	Divorced or separated vs widowed	<p>Stronger among males : $G' = -.38$ (05)</p> <p>Reversed among females: $G' = +.05$ (ns)</p>	HAPP 1.1	G'	-.04	Gt'	ns	Non-institutionalized adults, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage area sample N: 2460, date: spring, 1957	GURIN 60 p. 232
WIDOWED	Divorced or separated vs widowed	<p>See remarks in excerpt (Part II).</p> <p>Among males : $G' = +.03$ (ns)</p> <p>age 60+ : $G' = +.04$ (ns)</p> <p>Among females: $G' = +.25$ (05)</p> <p>age 40 - 59: $G' = +.29$ (ns)</p> <p>age 60+ : $G' = -.07$ (ns)</p>	HAPP 1.1	G'	+.21	Gt'	05	National adult population, U.S.A. Combined data from 3 U.S. general surveys conducted by NORC N: 3853, date: 1972, 1973, 1974	GLENN 75B p. 596
WIDOWED	Divorced or separated vs widowed	<p>Gammas based on proportions 'not too happy' answers</p> <p>Stronger among females: $G' = -.30$ (ns)</p> <p>Lower among males : $G' = -.10$ (ns)</p>	HAPP 1.1	G'	-.19	Gt'	ns	Inhabitants of 4 small communities, Illinois, U.S.A. Probability multi-stage samples N: 2006, date: March, 1962	BRADB 65/1 p. 13

M 1.4 - DIVORCED

M 1.4.1 - MARRIED VS DIVORCED

see above (M 1.1.3)

M 1.4.2 - NEVER MARRIED VS DIVORCED

see above (M 1.2.3)

M 1.4.3 - WIDOWED VS DIVORCED

see above (M 1.3.3)

M 1.4.4 - SEPARATED VS DIVORCED

DIVORCED	Separated vs divorced	Index of Positive Affects: $\overline{DR} = +.07$ (ns) Index of Negative Affects: $\overline{DR} = -.07$ (ns) Unaffected by sex	AFF 2.3	\overline{DR}	+.09	BCI	ns	Adults, urban areas, U.S.A. Probability area sample N: 2787, date: January, 1963 - January, 1964	BRADB 69 p. 149-150
		Stronger among females: $G' = +.28$ Lower among males : $G' = +.10$	HAPP 1.1	G'	+				
DIVORCED	Separated vs divorced		HAPP 1.1	G'	-.18			National adult population, Puerto Rico Probability simple random sample N: 1419, date: November, 1963 - January, 1964 and August - October, 1964	MATLI 66 p. 24
			AFF 2.3	G'	-.11				
DIVORCED	Separated vs divorced		HAPP 2.1	G'	+.12	Gt'	ns	National populations of nine European countries Type of sample construction not reported N: 9605 (or 9543; see remarks in excerpt, Part II) date: May, 1975	COMMI 75 p. 140/153
			HAPP 1.1	G'	+.28	Gt'	05		

M 1.5 - SEPARATED

M 1.5.1 - MARRIED VS SEPARATED

see above (M 1.1.4)

M 1.5.2 - NEVER MARRIED VS SEPARATED

see above (M 1.2.4)

M 1.5.3 - WIDOWED VS SEPARATED

see above (M 1.3.4)

M 1.5.4 - DIVORCED VS SEPARATED

see above (M 1.4.4)

M 1.6 - VARIOUS COMPARISONS

MARITAL STATUS	Widowed / divorced / never married / married		HAPP 2.1	r	+.06			National adult population, U.S.A. Cantril (1965) modified probability sample N: 1406, date: 1959	BORTN 70 p. 44
			HAPP 3.1	r	+.04				
			CON 1.1	r	+.01				

CONTINUITY IN MARITAL STATUS	Incontinuity (widowed / divorced) vs continuity (never married / married)	Unaffected by age Unaffected by age	HAPP 2.1 HAPP 1.1	G' G'	+.11 +.24	Gt' Gt'	ns ns	Aged persons, Detroit, U.S.A. Probability systematic random sample stratified by type of housing N: 210, date: —	GUBRI 74 p. 110-111
MARITAL STATUS	Widowed / married / unmarried	The unmarried are most happy (mean rating 6.00), followed by resp. the married (5.66) and the widowed (4.08)	HAPP 2.1	DM	+			National population, Britain Non-probability quota sample N: 213, date: March, 1971	ABRAM 73 p. 4
MARITAL STATUS	Widowed / never married / married	Positive among males : G = +.18 Negative among females: G = -.06 Lower among males : G = -.04 Stronger among females: G = -.16 Index of Positive Affects: males : G = +.12 females : G = +.16 Index of Negative Affects: Stronger among males: G = -.20 Not among females : G = -.01	HAPP 1.1 HAPP 3.1 AFF 2.3	G G G	 - +			Adults, Metro Manila, the Philippines Probability area sample N: 941, date: January - April, 1972	BULAT 73 p. 232
LEVEL OF ATTACHMENT OF MALE PARTNER	Closed question: recently terminated relationship / apparently not dating / won't marry present beau / will possibly marry present beau / will fairly certain marry present beau / engaged/ married	Females who are certain of getting married, who are engaged, or who are married are generally happier than those who are not (05)	AFF 2.1	DM	+	F ⁺	ns	Female college seniors, U.S.A. Non-probability chunk sample N: 162, date: May - June, 1966	PORTE 67 p. 58

M.1.7 - ATTITUDES TOWARDS MARITAL STATUS

POSITIVE SELF-IMAGE	Extent of agreement with 3 positive statements about singles: singles having an easy carefree life, a better financial position, a lot of leisure time	Computed for singles only Negative among males : r = -.08 Positive among females: r = +.10	HAPP 2.1	r _{pm}				Adults, Amsterdam, The Netherlands Probability systematic random sample stratified by sex and marital status N: 600, date: September - December, 1965	JONG 69
NEGATIVE SELF-IMAGE	Extent of agreement with 11 negative statements about singles: singles like being alone, live a frivolous life, are often jealous, shy, unattractive, reserved, etc.	Computed for singles only Stronger among males: r = -.22 (005) Lower among females : r = -.10 (ns)	HAPP 2.1	r _{pm}	-	Chi ²		See above	JONG 69 p. 200
PERCEIVED POSITIVE IMAGE	Expected agreement of married persons with 3 positive statements about singles: singles have an easy carefree life, a better financial position, a lot of leisure time	Computed for singles only males : r = -.04 females: r = +.06	HAPP 2.1	r _{pm}				See above	JONG 69
PERCEIVED NEGATIVE IMAGE	Expected agreement of married persons with 11 negative statements about singles: singles like being on their own, live a frivolous life, are often jealous, shy, unattractive, reserved, etc.	Computed for singles only Stronger among males: r = -.19 Not among females : r = -.03	HAPP 2.1	r _{pm}	-			See above	JONG 69